s—Blomberg to th, Goering, and and Luftwaffe le of "National ong-dead Prince

e in the Wehred for 15.8 per tage was nearly as eased greatly to be consid-August 1936, a size and level military service

35, Hitler had s under twelve tready been attracted that the thirty-te objective, as More importaking their apincluded three nfantry with a rters.

my functioned fere in operassension. Proc was cautious revolutionary nt formations en"—General al Heinz Guon which the 37 there were ere employed

se even a few future battleattle cruisers d the heavy

to approach ne submarine rds delivered Submarines, was developing new tactics involving operations in groups or wolf packs," for which medium-sized, maneuverable craft were most suitable. But a number of senior staff officers opposed these novel ideas and forecast a most distances from their bases. Because he felt that the issue had not been utisfactorily resolved, and relying on Hitler's personal assurance that there would be no war before 1944, Raeder in 1936 put a virtual stop to new construction, with the result that only one U-boat was delivered in 1937 and nine in 1918.

Of the three services it was the Luftwaffe which chiefly caused the fear of Germany which spread and deepened over Europe from 1935 on, and is most important in the story of Munich. From its birth, the Luftwaffe led a stormy life, for both political and professional reasons. Its Commander-in-Chief, Hermann Goering, was second only to Hitler in the hierarchy of the Third Reich, and he constantly threw his weight around in a way that aroused much jealousy and resentment in the Army and Navy. There was considerable opinion in German military circles that there was no reason for an independent air force, and that mather—as was then the case in the United States—the Army and Navy should each have an air component.

Thus the partnership of Goering with the professional soldiers was at best an measy one. Nevertheless, there was mutual interdependence. Little as they liked him, the generals and admirals were bound to support Goering's developmental tisk, for it was too plain for argument that, in any future war, effective air power over Europe and its adjacent waters would be vital to Germany's military success. Goering, for his part, was largely dependent upon the Army and, to a lesser extent, the Navy to staff and officer his new service. To be sure, Goering was able to recruit some of his associates from the German civil air line, the Deutsche Lufthansa, and from old comrades of his First World War days, some of whom had made careers as test pilots or flying instructors. His deputy, Erhard Milch, came from the Lufthansa; his technical director was the famous racing pilot Ernst Udet, and there were many other "Old Eagles," as they were called, who were glad to get back into uniform. But upwards of three quarters of the top-ranking leaders of the Luftwaffe were transferred from the officer corps of the Army and Navy, of whom some had flying experience, but more did not.

What was to be the Luftwaffe's principal mission in time of war? For England, vulnerable to air attack and with a comparatively small army, the answer had seemed clear: the RAF would defend its own homeland and bomb the enemy's—strategic defense and strategic attack. For Germany, a continental power relying primarily on a large army, it was plain that tactical support of the ground forces must be a basic and probably the major mission of the Luftwaffe,

<sup>•</sup> At the time of Munich, accordingly, forty-odd submarines were in commission, but some of the crews were still in the early training stage. Only eighteen more were delivered in 1939, and the lag in construction thus proved very costly to the Germans when the war tame.

and the remaining question was whether or not it should also be equipped for long-range strategic employment.

Largely staffed as the Luftwaffe was with former Army officers who naturally tended to think of aircraft as aerial field artillery and overlook their strategic potential, it is not surprising that the Army viewpoint prevailed. The leading advocate of strategic capability was the first Luftwaffe Chief of Staff, General Walther Wever, and under his aegis the Junkers and Dornier aircraft companies started developmental work on a long-range heavy bomber. But Wever was killed in an airplane crash in June 1936, and in April 1937 his successor, General Albert Kesselring, ordered the work stopped.\*

In the tactical field, however, the Germans made rapid advance in the techniques of air support. In contrast to the French, who scattered tanks and planes among the ground troops in comparatively small units, the Germans concentrated both for use en masse, The young French assistant air attaché, Captain Paul Stehlin, was allowed to view maneuvers in which the excellent results of the new air tactics made a deep impression, but Stehlin's voluminous and precise reports had no visible results in France.

Meanwhile, the Luftwaffe was both growing physically and maturing organizationally. Aircraft alone do not an air force make, and the Luftwaffe was useless for combat purposes until airfields and communications were built and developed, schools and headquarters established, and trainees graduated and assembled in operational units. With the benefit of clandestine training in Russia until 1933, and in Italy from 1933 to 1935, the first combat-ready formations were established in 1936. By the end of the year there were over a hundred operational squadrons of about ten aircraft each, and by the end of 1937 these numbers had more than doubled. During these same two years, the German aircraft manufacturers developed the basic types which were to constitute the Luftwaffe's backbone throughout the war—the Heinkel 111 and Dornier 17 level bombers, the Junkers 87 dive bomber (Stuka), and the Messerschmitt 109 fighter.

And so from the mines and mills and industrial laboratories of the Ruhr and the Rhine, from the Fatherland's farmlands and teeming cities, and from the martial skills and traditions of two centuries, the Wehrmacht of the Third Reich drew the breath of life and gathered strength. For the professional soldier, these were halcyon days, as recruits, weapons, new and interesting tactical problems, and promotions all came ever faster. Testifying at the Nuremberg trials, senior generals such as Johannes Blaskowitz and Hans Reinhardt agreed that during these years before 1938 there was hardly "a single officer who did not back up Hitler in his extraordinary success," and that "there was no reason to oppose Hitler, since he produced the results which they desired." Perhaps the most comprehensive and articulate summary of the military attitude toward Hitler

was written a dec Wehrmacht during

In the early ye cal tradition. . . Hitler. He fulfill longer choose to fulness. No thin rearmament con carried out with of those days. Th justice, the labor many as a politic with the masses, trayed by his me sive politics, whe no decisive reaso only given us bac had freed all G Treaty of Versai could achieve, he tion and increase

No general rain have appeared at The approval of more convincing rospect, to some merely the tradition

least up to 1938 cess. . . .

the main. Hitler first, when we be tiny.

2

A "man of destine the shape that dest many who were loc countries. If Hitler Hitler the Chancelle

This growing rest the Olympic Game 1936. Thousands of was used with gree Fuehrer himself was

<sup>\*</sup> The decision reflected more than narrow tactical thinking. Germany's resources were large but not unlimited, and construction of an air force sufficiently equipped for both tactical and strategic use would have taxed the available supplies of rubber, oil, and other crucial materials to a point that would have seriously cut down on Army armament requirements.

<sup>•</sup> Hitler's manifest aversion for America highlighted in Westbre